

PROPERTY LAW CASES AND MATERIALS

VOLUME II

Arnold Weinrib
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

These materials are reproduced solely for the use of students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Storage

1999 - 2000

KF 560 .W44 1999 v.2 c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

JAN 27 2000

FACULTY OF LAW UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

LANDLORD AND TENANT

<u>A.</u>	The Relationship and its Consequences	
1.	TENANTS AND LICENSEES	
	Re B.A. Oil and Halpert Shell-Mex and B.P. Ltd. v. Manchester Garages Ltd. Street v. Mountford Family Housing Association v. Jones	1 10 13 18
2.	CONSEQUENCES OF THE LEASEHOLD RELATIONSHIP	
	Stewart v. Child's Co. Goldhar v. Universal Sections and Mouldings Ltd. Highway Properties Ltd. v. Kelly, Douglas and Co. North Bay T.V. and Audio Ltd. v. Nova Electronics Note: Keneric Tractor v. Langille	27 28 40 49 54
B.	Landlord's Obligations	
1.	QUIET ENJOYMENT	
	Owen v. Gadd Kenny v. Preen Note	55 60 64
2.	NON-DEROGATION FROM GRANT	
	Harmer v. Jumbil (Nigeria) Tin Areas Ltd. Caplan v. Acadian Machinery Ltd. Port v. Griffith Note	66 69 70 74
3.	FITNESS FOR USE	
	Smith v. Marrable Sutton v. Temple Hart v. Windsor Bryner v. Thompson Note Barrett v. Lounova (1984) Ltd. Johnson v. Givens	75 77 78 81 82 83
4.	STATUTORY DUTY TO REPAIR	
	Pajelle Investments Ltd. v. Herbold Note McQuestion v. Schneider	94 10 10

<u>C.</u>	Tenant's Obligations	
	Landlord and Tenant Act	105
1.	PAYMENT OF RENT AND DEFENCES FROM RENT ACTIONS	
	(a) Surrender and Abandonment	
	Note Windmill Place v. APECO of Canada Ltd. Toronto Housing Co. Ltd. v. Postal Promotions Ltd.	108 109
	(Ont H.C.)	113
	Toronto Housing Co. Ltd. v. Postal Promotions Ltd. (Ont. C.A.)	118
	(b) Frustration	
	Waddams, "The Law of Contracts" Note	120 121
	(c) Illegality	
	Note	122
2.	RESTRICTIONS ON USER AND DUTY TO REPAIR	
	McQuaig v. Lalonde	123 127
	Note Norbury Sudbury Ltd. v. Noront Steel (1981) Ltd.	128
3.	RELIEF FROM FORFEITURE	
	Re Jeans West Unisex Ltd. and Hung Note	141 146
D.	Transfer by the Tenant	
1.	CONSEQUENCES OF TRANSFER	
	Francini v. Canuck Properties Ltd.	148
2.	NEED FOR LANDLORD'S LEAVE	
	Wakefield v. Cottingham Lifshitz v. Forest Square Apts. Ltd.	151 159

3. REASONABLENESS OF LANDLORD'S REFUSAL

Landlord and Tenant Act	163
Houlder Bros. and Co. v. Gibbs	164
Note	174
Re Griff and Somerset Management Services Ltd.	176

